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Good Morning Chair Gabrieli, Board members, Commissioner Santiago and all of your fine staff, and a special greeting to our colleagues at Massasoit Community College.

As President Glickman just recounted, the fall semester is underway at all of our 15 community colleges and it’s a busy time. We are already turning our attention to the winter intersession, spring and summer semesters for this academic year. We are aware that you too are looking to the future – both short term and long term. So today, I will touch on a couple topics that will come to your attention in your planning and DHE implementation this academic year.

The community college sector was pleased to be asked by Community College Segmental Representative Dr. J.D. LaRock in his past role as CEO and President of Commonwealth Corporation to provide a letter of support for a grant proposal to the National Governors Association focused on the Commonwealth’s system of lifelong learning.

Now that Massachusetts is one of six states selected as an awardee, we are also pleased to be part of the implementation team looking to better understand adult learners and their education and training needs and preferences as well as potential state financial aid streams to support the unmet financial needs of lower-income and diverse pools of potential enrollees.

This initiative fits in well with the Board’s Equity Agenda and will result, we hope, in expanding opportunities for matching post-secondary training and education strategies with market skill and credential demands. Although the project has just begun, we are off and running and today, I am pleased to introduce several NGA grant liaisons with us for a two-day site visit. They are Dana Westgren, Senior Policy Analyst, Jack Porter, Policy Analyst, and Rachel Hirsch, Senior Policy Analyst. Also with us is Lisa Ulrich who is the grant program coordinator at Commonwealth Commitment.

It’s fortuitous that the Board’s agenda today includes a presentation on the 2017 Massachusetts Student Financial Aid Study. I hope you keep in mind the unique needs of the adult learner as you and the DHE staff work to simplify student financial aid policy, programs, and process and address equity needs.

As I read that comprehensive Financial Aid Study, I was struck by how the Board’s Equity Agenda goal is more relevant than ever in the distribution of state financial aid resources.
For example on page 7, the authors noted that “the most financially needy students often bear a larger financial burden to finance their education than more advantaged students.” They also stated that “aid appears to be awarded with little regard to ability to pay as families with higher incomes often receive as much or more than lower-income families.”

As you can imagine, this inequitable distribution of state financial aid resources has the biggest negative impact on the Massachusetts community college sector, which:

- Enrolls 52% of the headcount of undergraduate students in public institutions of higher education and
- Serves the largest proportion of students of color, the largest proportion of lower-income families, the highest number of first generation in the family to college, as well as the largest number of adult learners.

When you combine the limited amount of state resources going to the highest need student populations with those student’s enrollment in the least resourced sector of public higher education, it creates a losing proposition.

Historically, the MA community college sector – whose FTE count is the highest of the three public higher education sectors – receives the lowest per student operational support while also receiving the lowest levels and least timely distribution of capital bond funds. This means that when enrolling the highest numbers of least resourced students – who even when Pell eligible may be receiving the lowest levels of state financial aid, it is nearly impossible for the community college to narrow achievement gaps.

I fear that we will not reach the goals envisioned by the Equity Agenda without addressing the inequitable distribution of state funding – capital, operational and student financial aid. This is the losing proposition that I am sure you also want to address.

Recently, Northern Essex Community College President Lane Glenn laid out a comprehensive and fiscally conservative approach to radically improving student success rates and eliminating achievement gaps at the open door colleges in our state. I’ve handed out to you his approach and rationale to read at your leisure.

President Glenn’s thesis that an additional investment of $1,561 per community college student would provide the needed resources to help turn this lose-lose into the win-win needed to achieve the Massachusetts Equity Agenda.

I hope you consider what you could do this year, in the Department of Higher Education’s FY2021 budget requests and future distributions to:
1. Provide the most needy students in our public system with the adequate level of financial aid to cut the unmet financial need they face.

2. Provide enhanced operational support to your least resourced institutions to adequately address the equity agenda goals, and last but not least.

3. Ensure that higher education capital bond funding provides the needed infusion of infrastructure and equipment to support today’s learning needs.

Together, we can insure a win-win for the students most impacted by the achievement gaps as well as for Massachusetts employers who are rightly demanding the skilled and credentialed worker force they need for future economic health and productivity.

Thank you for your time and interest. I’d be happy to answer any questions.
All across America, according to the National Student Clearinghouse Research Center, just over half of the students who begin a college degree end up graduating. Not surprisingly, a higher percentage of students graduate from places like Harvard, MIT, and the University of Massachusetts at Amherst, than from Lasell College, Salem State University, and my own institution, Northern Essex Community College.

The students at those prestigious institutions are far wealthier, better prepared, and generously supported, while the students at those “open door” colleges are, for the most part, poorer, less academically prepared, and far less supported with resources to address their needs.

This chart, drawn from research by the Delta Cost Project, the Massachusetts Department of Higher Education, and the Massachusetts Department of Elementary and Secondary Education, tells the story pretty clearly:

While the comparisons are not exactly “apples to apples” in terms of each segment’s mission and offerings, the incredibly wide spending gap between the “haves” and the “have-nots” (particularly community college students) is jaw-dropping.

The students who need the least, get the most; and the students who need the most, get the least.

As an example: According to Opportunity Insights, a big data crunching project at Harvard University, the median family income of a student at Tufts University in Medford, Massachusetts is $224,800, and 77% of the student body comes from the top 20 percent of wealthy families in America. Once they arrive at Tufts, students can expect generous accommodations and support services from a private, selective research institution that spends approximately $70,000 for every full-time equivalent student on campus.
Meanwhile, a mere four miles away in Charlestown, the median family income of a student at Bunker Hill Community College is $36,600, and only 8% come from the top 20 percent of the socioeconomic ladder. A full 25% of the student body at Bunker Hill comes from the bottom 20% of earners.

Like the rest of Massachusetts’ fifteen public community colleges, BHCC spends about $13,000 per full-time equivalent student. Increasingly, even some of those meagre resources that would be best spent on advising, tutoring, and other academic support services must instead be spent on food pantries, clothing closets, and community partnerships to assist with shelter, because, according to a recent statewide survey, more than a third of Massachusetts community college students struggle with hunger and homelessness.

How much would it cost to turn these trends around, and radically improve student success rates at “open door” colleges in Massachusetts and across America?

Not much: How about $1,561 per student?

That’s how much the Pathways to Academic and Career Excellence (PACE) Program at Northern Essex Community college spends, thanks to a federally funded TRIO Student Support Services grant, and the results are impressive. Last year, with $402,782 in grant funding, the PACE Program served 258 students, and:

- 94% of students persisted (remained enrolled at either at NECC or a transfer college)
- 94% of students were in good academic standing (had a GPA of 2.5 or higher)
- 72% of students graduated with a certificate or degree within 8 semesters of entering PACE

These success rates are 20-30% higher than our overall student population.

So, what’s the secret? Are PACE students smarter? Do they come from wealthier, better educated families?

No, and no.

In fact, to qualify for the PACE Program, you need to meet federal low-income financial guidelines and be a “first generation” student (no one else in your family has completed a college degree).

The difference for our PACE students is that, once they are admitted to the program, that little extra funding—$1,561 each—gets them more personal attention in the form of individualized academic advising, transfer advising, scholarship advising, field trips to four-year colleges, financial literacy workshops, and more.

That’s it. $1,561 and more personal attention for the same population of students that, without this extra support, would be far less likely to make it.

And the PACE Program isn’t alone.

The STRIVE Program at Holyoke Community College receives $360,885 in federal grant funds to support 224 students ($1,611 per student). STRIVE students must be first generation, and have financial need or have a documented disability.
Once admitted, they receive wrap-around support in the form of learning coaches, peer mentors, academic skills workshops, financial aid and career advising, and their success outcomes, like those for PACE students, are consistently higher than their overall student population:

- 80% of students persisted (remained enrolled at either at HCC or a transfer college)
- 98% of students were in good academic standing (had a GPA of 2.5 or higher)
- 46% of students graduated with a certificate or degree within 8 semesters of entering STRIVE

Perhaps the best-known example of these kinds of personal support services that make a difference is the Accelerated Study in Associate Programs (ASAP) offered by the City University of New York. ASAP requires that students attend full-time (which is a well-known factor in improving college graduation rates, though hard for many community college students to do); and provides not only individualized advising, tutoring, and other services, but also tuition waivers, free textbooks, and even bus and train passes to get back and forth to campus.

Yes, community college students often must drop their classes for the simple reason that they cannot afford transportation.

The price tag for ASAP is much higher: approximately $16,000 per student. But the results are inarguable. Retention, graduation, and transfer rates for ASAP students are all significantly higher.

There are 1,051 community colleges in America, and more than likely, every one of them can provide an example of success they have found with similar strategies using limited, probably temporary, funding.

The bottom line is this: Open door colleges like Northern Essex, Bunker Hill, and Holyoke don’t need another study to tell us how to help our students succeed. We know. What we need is the resources to do it.

At $1,561 per student, scaling up NECC’s PACE Program to serve all 5,000 of our students would cost $7.8 million.

Scaling it up across all 80,000 students at Massachusetts’ fifteen community colleges would cost around $125 million.

That’s not cheap, but wow, what a big bang for the bucks.

At a time when the Massachusetts workforce is facing a desperate shortage of 65,000 college-educated workers just a few years from now, the Commonwealth’s community colleges could immediately begin addressing that problem with thousands of new graduates each year—including more low income residents and students of color from our Gateway Cities—improving the overall economy and the standard of living for a huge portion of the population, while adding to tax rolls and reducing the need for unemployment benefits, subsidized health insurance, and criminal incarceration.

Want out even easier?

OK, provide funding for first generation, low-income students only, and cut the price tag more or less in half—$62.5 million—and you’re still going to get huge benefits.
Where does all that money come from?

How about:

- The Commonwealth: Given the potential return on investment, this is a bargain for the state’s taxpayers.
- The business community: One of the biggest headaches corporate CEO’s and human resource officers have in nearly every sector is finding qualified talent. Get creative spreading this cost around (maybe even split it 50/50 with the state?) and Bingo, problem solved.
- A Social Impact Bond: Skeptical about just throwing money at a problem and not seeing results? We could get creative and issue “Social Impact Bonds,” a form of investing in public sector work that provides a potential return to civic-minded funders, while doing more to measure success of the funded activities along the way.

Let’s do it!

If student success, particularly for the underserved, and meeting the Commonwealth’s workforce needs is important enough, there are plenty of ways to make this work.

It’s only $1,561.